Notional 2-ton pressurized anhydrous ammonia nurse tank release- Discharge and dispersion modelling in Phast.

Rory Hetherington, Simon Gant, Alison McGillivray Health and Safety Executive (HSE)

27th Annual George Mason University Conference on Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling. Jack Rabbit III break-out session, 23 June 2023.

Research - HSE funded to provide evidence which underpins its policy and regulatory activities **Guidance** - freely available to help people comply with health and safety law

© Crown Copyright HSE 2023

RESEARCH AND GUIDANCE FROM





- Nurse tanks and the Beach Park ammonia incident.
- Surface roughness estimation.
- ALOHA source term.
- Set-up in Phast.
- Discharge results (release rate) comparison with ALOHA.
- Dispersion results- centreline concentrations and isopleths of the cloud.
- Conclusions.



Outline



Beach Park ammonia incident

- Release of anhydrous ammonia from a nurse tank configuration, in Beach Park, Illinois, USA. 25 April 2019.
- ~1.5 tons of ammonia released.



Trailer and tank unit. Photo taken from [1].

Photograph courtesy of PHMSA.





Source: https://www.mda.state.mn.us/nursetank-anatomy



Test sites and surface roughness z_0

- Analysis provided by Joe Chang (RAND).
- National Land Cover Database (NLCD) gives predominant land use type.
- EPA's AERMOD regulatory model assigns a surface roughness to land use.
- Two surface roughness lengths used: 0.7 and 1.3 (m).

Test site	Predominant land use	Over distance	z ₀ (m)
#1	Evergreen forest	<2km	1.3
#2	Woody wetlands	<1km	0.7
#3	Evergreen forest	<2km	1.3





ALOHA source term

Source term predicted by ALOHA for a notional 2-ton nurse tank.

- Leak from short pipe or valve in horizontal cylindrical tank.
- Flammable chemical escaping from tank (not burning).
- Tank Diameter: 4 feet. Tank Length: 10 feet.
- Tank Volume: 940 gallons.
- Tank contains liquid.
- **Internal Temperature: 25° C.**
- Chemical Mass in Tank: 2.13 tons (tank is 90% full).
- **Circular Opening Diameter: 1.5 inches.**
- **Opening is 0 feet from tank bottom.**
- Note: RAILCAR predicts a stationary cloud or 'mist pool' will form.
- Model Run: traditional ALOHA tank.
- **Release Duration: 5 minutes.**
- Max Average Sustained Release Rate: **471 kilograms/min** (averaged over a minute or more).
- Total Amount Released: 1,932 kilograms.
- Note: The chemical escaped as a mixture of gas and aerosol (two phase flow).





Input conditions for Phast

- Simulations ran in the Phast consequence model.
- Phast provides discharge (from the pipe connected to the vessel), in addition to downwind dispersion.





Short pipe	
Release angle	Horizontal
Release height	1 m
Internal diameter	1.5 inch
Pipe length	4 ft (base case), and 0.1 m.
Release height from vessel bottom	0 m

Atmosphere	
Ambient temperature	25 °C
Ambient pressure	1.01325
Relative humidity	70%
Wind speed & stability	3/D and 1.5/F
Concentration averaging time	600 s



Release rate

- Model: short pipe release from a vessel.
- Pipe length set equal to tank diameter (4 foot).
- Additional run with shorter 0.1 m pipe.
- Total mass released: $\int \dot{m} dt = 1996 \text{ kg}$.
- Increasing the pipe length from 0.1 (m) to 4 (ft)
 lowers the release rate, but increases the time to empty.







- Reported ALOHA source term results:
 - Max average sustained release rate: 471 kg/min ____ (averaged over a minute or more).
 - Average release rate: 386.4 kg/min (averaged over 5 minutes).
- **386.4 kg/min** used in SLAB to predict downwind dispersion.
- Release rate from 4ft pipe in Phast (averaged over first 5 mins): 393.9 kg/min.
 - Comparable to ALOHA's time-averaged release rate.
- The 4 ft pipe model is used in subsequent analysis.



Release rate





Matching release rates to ALOHA

- Phast calculates the discharge conditions as input to the dispersion model. SLAB is using the inputs generated by ALOHA.
- Does this make a difference to the downwind dispersion?
- Fix release rate in Phast to match that of ALOHA (386.4 kg/min).
- No significant difference seen in the downwind dispersion.

Case	x_{AEGL-3} (m)		
	Phast <i>ṁ</i> calculated	Fixed $\dot{m} = 386.4$ (kg/min)	
$1.5/F, z_0 = 0.7$	425	419	

Distance to AEGL-3 (x_{AEGL-3}), 2700 ppm, 10 min exposure.







Centreline concentrations

- Effect of varying wind/stability versus roughness length.
- Slight difference in concentration profiles for a change in z_0 .
 - For 3/D, increasing z_0 results in a 13% reduction in x_{AEGL-3} .
 - For 1.5/F, increasing z_0 results in a 8% increase in x_{AEGL-3} .
- Change in weather scheme more significant.
 - Changing from 3/D to 1.5/F increases x_{AEGL-3} by 65% ($z_0 = 0.7$ m), and 103% ($z_0 = 1.3$ m).
- x_{AEGL-3} is within a factor of two between Phast and SLAB.

Case	<i>x_{AEGL-3}</i> (m)		Ratio
	Phast	SLAB	Phast/SLAB
$3/D, z_0 = 0.7$	258	143	1.8
3/D, z ₀ = 1.3	225	172	1.3
1.5/F, $z_0 = 0.7$	425	273	1.6
1.5/F, $z_0 = 1.3$	457	238	1.9





- Snapshot of cloud (side view) at 300 s.
- Isopleths of 100, 5000, 10000, and 50000 ppm.

Cloud side view

Cloud footprint

- Snapshot of cloud from above at height of 1 m (t = 300 s).
- Isopleths of 100, 5000, 10000, and 50000 ppm.

 $---- 1.5/F, z_0 = 0.7 \text{ m}, 100 \text{ (ppm)} ---- 1.5/F, z_0 = 0.7 \text{ m}, 5000 \text{ (ppm)}$ **–** – $\cdot 1.5/F$, $z_0 = 1.3$ m, 100 (ppm) – – $\cdot 1.5/F$, $z_0 = 1.3$ m, 5000 (ppm) $| \dots 3/D, z_0 = 0.7 \text{ m}, 100 \text{ (ppm)} \dots 3/D, z_0 = 0.7 \text{ m}, 5000 \text{ (ppm)}$ $---3/D, z_0 = 1.3 \text{ m}, 100 \text{ (ppm)} ----3/D, z_0 = 1.3 \text{ m}, 5000 \text{ (ppm)}$

– • – $1.5/F$, $z_0 = 1.3$ m, 10000 (ppm)	$\cdot 1.5/F$, $z_0 = 1.3 \text{ m}$, 50000 (ppm)
$\dots 3/D, z_0 = 0.7 m, 10000 (ppm)$	$\dots 3/D, z_0 = 0.7 \text{ m}, 50000 \text{ (ppm)}$
——— $3/D, z_0 = 1.3 \text{ m}, 10000 \text{ (ppm)}$	——— $3/D, z_0 = 1.3 \text{ m}, 50000 \text{ (ppm)}$

Max Footprint

- Maximum footprint (all times) of the cloud from above, at height of 1 m.
- Max footprint- low-level concentration isopleths of 100 ppm extend further.

Conclusions

- dispersion model.
- Results from Phast compared to results from SLAB (ran by Joe Chang).
- Release rate is in good agreement to ALOHA (which is used as input to SLAB).
- Isopleths of varying concentrations (100, 5000, 10000, 50000 ppm) are generated for the cloud footprint and side view.
- factor of 2.
- Distances to AEGL-3 from Phast range from 225 m to 460 m in D3 and F1.5.

Release of anhydrous ammonia from a 2-ton nurse tank has been simulated using the Phast

Distances to AEGL-3 reported from Phast are greater than SLAB. However, results are within a

Thank you

- Thank you to Joe Chang (RAND) and Tom Spicer (University of Arkansas) for their contributions.
- Contact: <u>rory.hetherington@hse.gov.uk</u>.
- those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect HSE policy.

The contents of this presentation, including any opinions and/or conclusions expressed, are

